



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/527,717

01/09/2006

Jan Berends

5100-000013/US

7614

30593 7590 02/13/2008  
HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.  
P.O. BOX 8910  
RESTON, VA 20195

EXAMINER

KEENAN, JAMES W

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3652

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

02/13/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/527,717	<b>Applicant(s)</b> BERENDS ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> James Keenan	<b>Art Unit</b> 3652	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) James Keenan. (3)\_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Corey Smith. (4)\_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 11 February 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1,9,15 and 16.

Identification of prior art discussed: Baker, Behrends, both of record.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant submitted a proposed amendment after-final. Examiner indicated that changes to claim 1 and 9 possibly defined over the art of record, but needed further consideration. It was also noted that new dependent claims 15 and 16 appeared to define over the rejections of record. Applicant indicated that an RCE would probably be filed.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/James Keenan/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3652

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required